

# **Efficacy and Tolerance** of Sunekos® 200

Aesthetic supplier Med-fx summarises a clinical study on a new injectable product that aims to promote neocollagenesis and elastogenesis

In July 2017, Efficacy and Tolerance of an Injectable Medical Device Containing Hyaluronic Acid and Amino acids: A Monocentric Six-Month Open-Label Evaluation was published in the Journal of Clinical Trials. This study was conducted by researcher Adele Sparavigna from the Clinical Research and Bioengineering Institute in Milan and Alessandro Orlandini who is based at Professional Dietetics in Milan, which specialises in patented amino acid formulas. Together, Sparavigna and Orlandini investigated the results that can be achieved with Sunekos® 200; a class III medical device comprised of small bottles containing 100mg of sterile and apyrogenous lyophilised of Glycine, L-Proline, L-Lysine HCP, L-Valine, L-Alanine and sterile vials containing sodium hyaluronate (30mg in 3ml of distilled water). The product, manufactured by Professional Dietetics and available through Med-fx in the UK, is designed to be used for the correction of photoageing/ageing of the face and body. The injectable solution contains low molecular hyaluronic acid (HA) and a specific amino acids mixture, which has been formulated to physiologically promote local neocollagenesis and elastogenesis through fibroblasts chemotaxis migration into the injected area.

### Method

The open single-centre study was conducted under dermatological control in Milan. It included 25 healthy female subjects aged 48-65 years, with a mean age of 57. Each had mild-moderate cutaneous photoageing, with Fitzpatrick Skin Type I-III. The subjects were instructed to avoid strong UV irradiation on the face during the study period and not to change habits regarding food, physical activity, make-up use and facial cleansing products. None of the subjects had undergone

Type of Improvement	Sum of medium, marked and very marked judgements
Improvement of cheeks volume	57%
Reshaping of face silhouette	62%
Reduction of deep wrinkles	57%
Reduction of superficial wrinkles	76.2%
Lifting effect	76%
Improvement of skin suppleness	67%
Improvement of skin smoothness	71.4%
Improvement of skin brightness	81%
Improvement of skin hydration	71.4%

Figure 1: Subjects' judgements on the treatment efficacy (sum of subject % who expressed a medium, marked and very marked judgements)

aesthetic treatment 12 months prior to the start of the study or been administered permanent fillers in the past. The injectable solution was prepared ex tempore, mixing the amino acids powder with the HA solution. The first intradermal treatment (T1i) was performed during the first visit (T0), after basal evaluations and repeated after 10 (T2i), 20 (T3i) and 30 (T4i) days. Treatments were performed with a 30G, 13mm needle, with a microinjection technique by a specialised dermatologist, bilaterally on the face (zygomatic protuberance, nostril's angle, inferior margin of tragus, lip-marionette lines, mandibular angle). The injected volume selected for the four implants was 3ml (1.5ml for each side) for each session performed. Subjects were evaluated in basal conditions and after 4, 8, 12 and 24 weeks, using validated clinical scales, subjective evaluations and objective quantitative outcome measures. Assessment of aesthetic results included photographic documentation.





Figure 2: Before and two months after the first injection.

#### FACIAL VOLUME LOSS (FVLS reference photographic scale)



- T0: baseline
- T2M: 2 months after the 1st injection procedure
- T3M: 3 months after the 1st injection procedure
- T6M: 6 months after the 1st injection procedure

#### Results

Four subjects dropped out of the study due to personal decisions. No other important events occurred that may have interfered with the results during the study period. Obtained results showed an improvement of all the clinical and subjective assessments and on the majority of objective instrumental parameters. These were already significant 10 days after the first injection procedure and were still significant and still improving after six months (at follow up). Global judgment on tolerability was good/excellent, both in the investigators' opinion and volunteers' self-evaluation.

## Safety Results

A total of nine light/moderate bruises on some injection points occurred during the protocol following injections. They totally disappeared within five to 10 days. One subject complained 48 hours after the second treatment of the appearance of a moderate bruise associated with a light oedema to the left periocular area; the event was treated with lactoferrin cosmetic cream (two times a day for five days) and followed until complete resolution. Since all these reactions represent expected events imputable to the injection procedure, the investigator judged the product tolerance good-excellent in 100% of subjects, which was also confirmed by the subjects' self-assessment (38% as good and 62% as excellent).

#### Conclusion

Obtained results confirm the aesthetic performance of Sunekos® 200 on the main signs of facial skin photoageing. In particular, it was demonstrated to have an impact on the biovolumetric effect, the antiwrinkle efficacy, as well as superficial and deep moisturising activity and elasticising properties. This study supports the definition of extracellular matrix targeting for this product.

The experimental work confirm that all objective and subjective outcomes achieved in the clinical study over time are comparable to those obtained with filler, despite the fact that this medical device is based on a non-cross-linked hyaluronic acid. It has been demonstrated that a simultaneous and ordered activation of collagen and elastin in the matrix is possible. This activation has proved to be capable of counteracting elastosis. Th efficacy of the product is not limited to the period of treatment, but has been verified in follow-up, where a significant increase of the parameters of rejuvenation of the extracellular matrix are still present after six months. The majority of volunteers noticed the treatment efficacy and underlined, in particular, the product's anti-wrinkle, filling and biorevitalising activity, as well as the lifting effect and the reshaping of the facial silhouette.

#### REFERENCE

 Sparavigna A, Orlandini A, 'Efficacy and Tolerance of an Injectable Medical Device Containing Hyaluronic Acid and Amino acids: A Monocentric Six-Month Open-Label Evaluation', J Clin Trials, (2017), 7: 316.